

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,522	DIEHM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAWNTINA FUQUA	3742	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SHAWNTINA FUQUA. (3) Attorney Heinz Bardehle.
 (2) Attorney David Gerk. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: DE4416959 and 5230460.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney pointed out that prior art of references do not show two opposing intake end faces which allow gas flow to enter fan wheel from both sides. Examiner agreed with Applicant but also noted further search and consideration would be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shawntina Fuqua/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742